Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)
	09/9	21,645	MEADE ET AL.
Exammer-initiated interview Sumi	Exa	miner	Art Unit
	Tere	esa E. Strzelecka	1637
All Participants:	Sta	atus of Application: <u>Pe</u>	ending
(1) <u>Teresa E. Strzelecka</u> .	(	(3)	
(2) <u>Timothy Worrall</u> .	(	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 August 2005	Tit	ne:	
	☐ Applicant's re ] No	epresentative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 58, 64 and 71  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL N	ATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The exar separate record	niner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
			•
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Appli	cant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

,,

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Worrall was contacted because the application could be allowed if it wasn't for two problems: claim 64 depended from cancelled claim 66 and claim 71 was not further limiting. Mr. Worall agreed to cancellation of claim 71 and amendment to claim 64 to remove its dependency from claim 66. Mr Worrall further asked to change the dependency of claim 58 from claims 54, 55 or 56 to claims 55, 56 or 57. The changes made are introduced by Examiner's amendment..